



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,297	12/31/2003	Richard M. Shupak	MSFT-2568/307781.01	1690
41505	7590	12/23/2008	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			SCHMIDT, KARI L	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2929 ARCH STREET			2439	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/23/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/750,297	SHUPAK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KARI L. SCHMIDT	2439	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KARI L. SCHMIDT. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Oriti. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lueh and Richare, Gerardo.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner notes the applicant explained the invention and how it differs over the cited prior art. The examiner further notes the applicant has proposed amendments to the independent claims. The examiner notes that further consideration of the cited reference and/or a new search will be conducted when a formal reply is entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kari L Schmidt/ Examiner, Art Unit 2439	/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434
---	---